

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/269,711

Applicant(s)
Sakai et al.

Examiner Shengjun WANG
~~Frederick Krass~~

Group Art Unit
1614 / 1617

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) S. Wang

(3) R. Travers

(2) L. Cheng

(4) _____

Date of Interview Oct 17, 2000

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: All

Identification of prior art discussed:

Yazawa et al.; Nojima et al.; Winget et al..

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

All the cited prior art rejections and new matter rejections and the newly submitted amendment have been discussed. The examiner indicated that the new matter rejection will be maintained. Applicants' agent indicates that applicants will withdraw the new matter regarding the limitation "free from phosphate ester and phosphonate ester in molecular structure" to overcome the 112 first paragraph rejection. The examiner indicates that all the prior art rejection will be maintained. The agent indicates the applicants will cancel the claim 1 and possibly file a supplement amendments.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

S. Wang

~~FREDERICK KRASS~~
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1614 / 1617



Creation date: 12-08-2003
Indexing Officer: KKHAMBAY - KHOUTHONG KHAMBAY
Team: OIPEBackFileIndexing
Dossier: 09269711

Legal Date: 11-27-2000

| No. | Doccode | Number of pages |
|-----|---------|-----------------|
| 1 | A... | 1 |
| 2 | CLM | 1 |
| 3 | REM | 4 |
| 4 | OATH | 2 |
| 5 | LET. | 2 |

Total number of pages: 10

Remarks:

Order of re-scan issued on